
DairyNZ SmartSAMM

Mastitis Investigation Kit









Benchmarks and supporting documentation

Industry resources have been used to provide benchmarks and warning levels for this Kit. For more information on individual benchmarks, see:

- SmartSAMM Industry Benchmarks at smartsamm.co.nz/farmers/industry-benchmarks
- SmartSAMM Mastitis Focus Report at smartsamm.co.nz/tools/mastitis-focus
- SmartSAMM Guidelines and Technotes at smartsamm.co.nz/resources/guidelines and smartsamm.co.nz/resources/technotes

The Mastitis Investigation Kit enables a trained advisor to collect sufficient data to identify key areas for improvement in mastitis and milk quality management. Supporting documentation (SmartSAMM Technotes and Guidelines, referenced where applicable) is available from **smartsamm.co.nz**

ACVM registered products

All veterinary medicines and agricultural chemicals with current Animal Compounds and Veterinary Medicines (ACVM) registration can be accessed from the Ministry of Primary Industries website, at: https://eatsafe.nzfsa.govt.nz/web/public/acvm-register

Acknowledgements:

The DairyNZ SmartSAMM team would like to acknowledge:

- Dairy Australia and the Countdown Downunder team for development of the original Mastitis Investigation Pack;
- DairyNZ and Ministry for Primary Industries Sustainable Farming Fund for funding to adapt this resource for New Zealand; and
- The enthusiastic group of consultants and experts who helped in the adaptation process, including: Adrian Joe, Craig Burrows, Eric Hillerton, Jess Shelgren, Mel Eden, Murray Pedley and Scott McDougall.

Disclaimer

DairyNZ Limited ("DairyNZ", "we", "our") endeavours to ensure that the information in this publication is accurate and current. However we do not accept liability for any error or omission.

The information that appears in this publication is intended to provide the best possible dairy farm management practices, systems and advice that DairyNZ has access to. However, the information is provided as general guidance only and is not intended as a substitute for specific advice. Practices, systems and advice may vary depending on the circumstances applicable to your situation. The information may also be subject to change at any time without notice. DairyNZ takes no responsibility whatsoever for the currency and/or accuracy of this information, its completeness or fitness for purpose.

Mastitis Investigation Kit



Read SmartSAMM Technote 13 pages 5-15 for a guide to using these sheets and tips for efficient data collection

Section	Page	Tick if required	Advisor Name of advisor who will undertake this part	Date Date when this will/did occur
Investigation master sheet	A1-A8	\checkmark		
Farm profile	B1-B7	\checkmark		
Milk cultures	С			
Individual cow cell counts	D			
Milking machine dry test	E			
Milking machine performance tests	F			
Milking routine	G			
Clinical case management	Н			
Teat condition	I			
Milking observations	J			
Completeness of milking	K			
Teat disinfection	L			
Environment	М			

How to use this kit

The SmartSAMM Mastitis Investigation Kit is designed to capture information about a specific herd, during the course of an investigation, undertaken by a trained advisor.

Section A and B helps an advisor identify and prioritise major risk factors that may be contributing to a mastitis problem.

Section C to M provides forms to capture the detailed findings.

A new Kit is required for each herd and is best completed by hand. Usually sections A and B will always be completed. Completion of the remaining sections will depend on the specific herd circumstances. On-line resources are available to aid calculations. Check the SmartSAMM website for links and new resources as they become available.

Printing

The Kit can be printed using a standard laser printer in the following formats:

- Colour or Black and White
- On A3 paper, double-sided, stapled in "booklet" format
- On A4 paper, double-sided, stapled top left or down left side

Abbreviations

The following abbreviations have been used in the Kit:

BMSCC = bulk milk SCC

DCT = Dry Cow Treatment

DCV = Society of Dairy Cattle Veterinarians of the NZVA

ICSCC = Individual cow SCC

ITS = Internal Teat Sealant

kPa = kilo Pascals

MPTA = New Zealand Milking and Pumping Trade Association

MRS T = Mark, Record, Separate and Treat

SCC = somatic cell count

TN = SmartSAMM Technote

A1. Investigation master sheet



Dat	e	
Pres	enting problem	Advisory team
.		Name
.		Company
		Phone
		Fax
Re-	defined problem	Email
.		
		Name
		Company
•••••		Phone
.		Fax
.		Email
.		
		Name
_	reed key factors to resolve the problem	Company
(U <u>s</u>	se A8 to identify and allocate priorities)	Phone
1		Fax
		Email
2		
		Client goals for milk quality:
3		Bulk Milk SCC:
4		Clinical mastitis:
		Culling for mactitic:
		Culling for mastitis:
	Review Date	

A2. Investigation master sheet

Does the farm operation match the SmartSAMM Guidelines?



How important is this to the

B. Farm profile TΝ Bench-Yes Unsure No Comments mark Number of BMSCC consignments above dairy company grading level (e.g. 400,000 cells/ml) meets current guidelines: 11,13 <1 • For past month: • For season to date: 11,13 <1 The policy used to check introduced 21 (purchased or leased) cows for mastitis meets the guidelines Udder conditions at calving (no excessive 1, 2 oedema) meets the guidelines Permanent and detailed records are kept 4,10 on cows with clinical mastitis Total number of clinical cases in all cows 4,10 <15% meets current guidelines of all COWS See Industry benchmarks Number of clinical cases in first calving 1, 2 <16% heifers meets current guidelines of heifers See Your calving system on Mastitis Focus Report. The culling policy for clinical and 15 1-2% persistently infected cows meets the of all guidelines COWS Management at drying off and dry cow 14 treatment strategy meets the guidelines Other

C. Milk cultures	TN	Bench- mark	Yes	Unsure	No	Comments
Milk samples were collected from cows representative of the problem being investigated	4					
There are sufficient milk culture results to assess the herd problem	13					
Bacteria have been identified that could account for the herd problem	1, 5					
Other e.g. has antibiotic resistance been detected?						

4 - High and urgent

3	-	High	but	not	urgent
2	_				

1 - Different problem

A3. Investigation master sheet



Does the farm operation match the SmartSAMM Guidelines?

4 - High and urgent 3 - High but not urgent D. Cow somatic cell count analysis TΝ Bench-Yes Unsure No Comments 2 - Low mark 1 - Different problem Incidence of new infections for all cows 5,12 <10 meets current guidelines i.e. <10 new high SCC cows per 100 cows in herd per month See Spread of Infection on Mastitis Focus Report. Incidence of new infections for first calvers <30% 2, 5, meets current guidelines 12 i.e. <30% of first calving heifers developed high SCC in past 12 months. See Spread of Infection on Mastitis Focus Report. Other

E. Milking machine dry test	TN	Bench- mark	Yes	Unsure	No	Comments
The last test was recent enough to provide valid information on the current problem	25					
The vacuum and airflows are within the current guidelines (i.e. working vacuum, effective reserve, regulation efficiency)	25 MPTA specs					
Pulsators operate within the current guidelines	25 MPTA specs					
Liners and shells are compatible. Liner, claw tubes and other rubberware are in good condition	6 MPTA specs					
Other						

How important is this to the problem?

A4. Investigation master sheet



How important is this to the problem?

		,			,		4 - High
F. Milking machine performance test	TN	Bench- mark	Yes	Unsure	No	Comments	3 - High 2 - Low 1 - Diffe
Compatible cluster components have been selected and liners seem OK for average teat size.	25						1 Dinei
Clusters hang squarely on udders							
Vacuum levels and differences meet current standards and guidelines	25 MPTA specs						
Mean claw vacuum meets the current guidelines	25						
Vacuum stability in milkline and receiver meets the current guidelines	25 MPTA specs					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Other							

G. Milking routines	TN	Bench- mark	Yes	Unsure	No	Comments
Cow flow into and away from farm dairy is acceptable						
Cups go on clean, dry teats	5					
Cows have let-down soon after the cups go on	5					
Hygiene at milking time (wearing of gloves, stripping methods etc) is helping to reduce numbers of bacteria at teat ends	5, 8					
The technique used by all staff to remove cups is appropriate	5					, ,
The frequency of teat cup slips is within current guidelines	8	<10% of cows				a A
Other						

Does the farm operation match the SmartSAMM Guidelines?

A5. Investigation master sheet



Does the farm operation match the SmartSAMM Guidelines?

H. Clinical case managementTNBenchmarkYesUnsureNoCommentsThe protocol for finding clinical cases is appropriate410Image: Second						
appropriate10Image: Constraint of the same protocol for finding clinical cases4 10Image: Constraint of the same protocol for finding clinical cases is appropriate4 10Image: Constraint of the same protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriate4 10Image: Constraint of the same protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriate4 10Image: Constraint of the same protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriate4 10Image: Constraint of the same protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriate4 10Image: Constraint of the same protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriate4 10Image: Constraint of the same protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriate4 10Image: Constraint of the same protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriate4 10Image: Constraint of the same protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriate4 10Image: Constraint of the same protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriate4 10Image: Constraint of the same protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriate4 10Image: Constraint of the same protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriate4 10Image: Constraint of the same protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriate4 10Image: Constraint of the same protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriate4 10Image: Constraint of the same protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriate1• Marking • Recording • Separating • Treating • T	H. Clinical case management	TN	Yes	Unsure	No	Comments
All staff use the same protocol for finding clinical cases4 10Image: Clinical casesImage: Clinical cases4 10Image: Clinical casesImage: Clinical cases1mage: Clinical cases4 10Image: Clinical casesImage: Clinical cases1mage: Clinical cases <th< td=""><td>The protocol for finding clinical cases is</td><td>4</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	The protocol for finding clinical cases is	4				
clinical cases10Image: Constraint of the protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriate4The protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriate4Image: Constraint of the protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriate4Implementation of MRS T is acceptable for:4Image: Constraint of the protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriate4MarkingImage: Constraint of the protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriate4Image: Constraint of the protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriateMarkingImage: Constraint of the protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriate4Image: Constraint of the protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriateMarkingImage: Constraint of the protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriate4Image: Constraint of the protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriateImage: Constraint of the protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriate4Image: Constraint of the protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriateImage: Constraint of the protocol for treating clinical cases is appropriate4Image: Constraint of the protocol for	appropriate	10			-	
Implementation of MRS T is acceptable for:4Implementation of MRS T is acceptable separating4Implementation of MRS T is acceptable separa	All staff use the same protocol for finding	4				
appropriate 10 Implementation of MRS T is acceptable for: • Marking • Recording • Separating • Treating	clinical cases	10				
Implementation of MRS T is acceptable for:44Marking44Recording4Separating4Treating4		4				
for: Marking Recording Separating Treating	appropriate	10				
 Marking Recording Separating Treating 		4				
 Recording Separating Treating 						
SeparatingTreating						
Treating	-					
Utner						
	Other					

I. Teat condition	TN	Bench- mark	Yes	Unsure	No	Comments
Long term changes in teat skin condition and teat end hyperkeratosis meet current guidelines See Technote 9 pg 22 for guideline table.	9	<4% qtrs or 10% cows				
Short term changes in teat condition (colour, swelling, firmness, openness) meet current guidelines.	9	<8% qtrs or 20% cows				
Other						

How important is this to the problem?

A6. Investigation master sheet



How important is this to the problem?

Does the farm operation match the SmartSAMM Guidelines?

J. Milking observations	TN	Bench- mark	Yes	Unsure	No	Comments	4 - High and urgent 3 - High but not urgen 2 - Low 1 - Different problem
Hygiene of udders and legs is acceptable (fewer than 20% of cows with hygiene score 3 or 4)	26						r - Different problem
Cow discomfort is minimal (fewer than 10% of cows with KiSt response) during milking	5	<10%					
Delayed let-down in the herd is minimal (less than 10% of cows have a delay of more than 1 minutes)		1 min					
The average milk flow time of the herd meets current guidelines for their production level See Technote 5, pg 16 for guideline table	5						
 Average over-milking time is acceptable Minimal: <1 minute, Moderate: 1-3 minutes, Excessive: >3 minutes 	5	3 min					
Teat disinfectant adequately covers all teat surfaces (use = 20ml per cow per milking)	7	20ml					
Other							

K. Completeness of milking	TN	Bench- mark	Yes	Unsure	No	Comments
yields of 100 ml or more	6	of qtrs				
Other						

A7. Investigation master sheet



Does the farm operation match the Farm Guidelines?

L. Teat spray preparation	TN	Bench- mark	Yes	Unsure	No	Comments
The product is listed on the ACVM register. See register on MPI website.	7					
Mixing rates, water quality and state of storage and mixing containers meet current guidelines	7					
Concentration of disinfectant and emollient are at appropriate levels for the conditions (teat condition, bacterial challenge etc)	7					
Other						

M. The environment	TN	Bench- mark	Yes	Unsure	No	Comments
Dry cows are managed so as to minimise dirt on udders and legs in early and late dry period	26					
Cows calve in a clean and dry environment	1					
Udders remain clean and dry in the first hour after milking	7					
Lactating cows are managed so as to minimise dirt on udders and legs in early lactation	26					
Other						

How important is this to the problem?


A8. Investigation master sheet



Herd mastitis dynamics chart: See technote 13, page 7

Major pathogen(s):

Key control points:



Other key issues:



B1. Farm profile



Client details		Farm information	
Contact person		Herd manager	
Phone		Herd size	
Dala an fama		Number of milking staff	
Role on farm		Supply number:	
Postal address			
Clients description of the problem			
Off-pasture Structures	Stand-off pad		
(Circle & add details where	Feed pad		
applicable)	Covered yards Herd home®		
	Cubicle barn		
	Other		
Dairy type	Herringbone - swing over Herringbone - double up	Rotary Other	No. of bails:
Plant type	Single brand - if so what brand?	Mixed brand - if so what brands	5?

Available information				
Dairy company supplied:				
The farm's regular:	Name:	Contact number:		
Milk quality advisor				
Veterinarian				
Milking machine technician				
Herd testing organisation				
• Farm consultant(s)				

B2. Farm profile



Clinical case reco	ords					tick when copy received
Are they:	Uplc	Kept temporaril Stored on pape Stored on PC baded to herd test or	r	How far back do they go?		
BMSCC		tick when co	py received	ICSCC		tick when copy received
Third party access	granted?	YES	NO	Third party acce	ess granted?	YES NO
Available for:			ompany dockets	Available electro	onically	From herd testing org
Last lactation		Other		Herd testing or	9	
				Date of last her	d test	
Has farm graded t lactation?	his	YES	NO	No. of tests per	year	
Is demerit relief av	ailable?	YES	NO	Mastitis Focus r	eport available?	YES NO
Milk cultures		tick when co	by received	NZMPTA		tick when copy received
Number of sample	s			Date of last ma	chine test	
Collected when				Testing technici	an	
Collected by				Testing compar	ıy	
Calving pattern ·	include	the starting month	and number o	of cows calving		
Seasonal	Start	month		No of c	COWS	
Split	Start	month		No of c	OWS	
	Start	month		No of c	OWS	
Other	Start	month		No of c	OWS	

B3. Farm profile



	problem - What i	s the primary con-	cern and when d	oes it occurs	Define the problem - tick appropriate boxes (one or more)
					BMSCC At calving During lactation Clinical cases At calving During lactation Uning lactation High ICSCC cows Teat condition Other (eg thermodurics)
People					
	f employed? (incl.	relievers)			Note any features about staffing issues and milking routine consistency that
If yes, how m	any staff?				may impact on mastitis.
How many op each milking?	perators are in the	e dairy at			
When are reli	ief milkers used?				
Is the herd ev YES, when:	er milked once a	day? If			
Cows	calvei	w many first rs in the herd? oproximately)	cows	nany mature in the herd? proximately)	ls the age structure or replacement
This lactation					rate of the herd likely to impact on the level of mastitis in the herd and the
Last lactation					management options?
Have any co in the past 3		ig herd been inti	roduced from e	xternal sources	
If YES, pleas	e describe				
<i>If YES, pleas</i> Date	e describe Source	No. maiden heifers	No. of cows	Total	What is the risk of introducing mastitis bacteria from other herds into the herd?
-	1		No. of cows	Total	bacteria from other herds into the
-	1		No. of cows	Total	bacteria from other herds into the
-	1		No. of cows	Total	bacteria from other herds into the
-	1		No. of cows	Total	bacteria from other herds into the herd? What purchasing protocols are used to
-	1		No. of cows	Total	bacteria from other herds into the herd? What purchasing protocols are used to
-	1		No. of cows	Total	bacteria from other herds into the herd? What purchasing protocols are used to
-	1		No. of cows	Total	bacteria from other herds into the herd? What purchasing protocols are used to
-	1		No. of cows	Total	bacteria from other herds into the herd? What purchasing protocols are used to

B4. Farm profile

calving?



Milking mob management		
Are milking cows milked in separate herd (Other than during colostrum withholding or vet treatment withholding periods).	s on a regular basis?	YES NO
If yes, on what basis are cows split?	If "Previous SCC/ma used, are the "clear	astitis history" is " cows milked first?
 Age Body Condition Score Previous SCC/mastitis history - please describe below: Other - please describe below: 	Always Most of the t Sometimes Never	ime
More detail:		
Milking infrastructure		
Have there been any recent changes to the dairy? If YES, describe these changes.		
What type of liners are in the shells?		
When were they last changed?		
When are they due for changing next?		
Max. recommended milkings	2500	5000
Udders at calving	Cows	First calving heifers
Were animals affected by udder oedema at the last calving?	Many (>10%) Some (<10%) None	
Were animals affected by tight udders that were dripping milk at the last	Many (>5%) Some (<5)	Many (>5%)

None

None

Check for any obvious leads relating to the dairy plant and equipment that should be followed up.

Estimate how many cow-milkings occur per month?

stimate how many months between iner changes:

.....

For ease of calculation, see the SmartSAMM Liner Ready Reckoner, at smartsamm.co.nz.

Tight, swollen, dripping udders at calving are at risk of new infection. Consider when choosing dry cow strategy and pre-calving management of first calvers.

B5. Farm profile

Reduced feeding level

Other



Clinical cases					
Do the clinical records show: (circle relevant records)	Cow ID Date Quarter treated Product used Result/outcome Date clear back in vat			A high number of cases in heifers at, or soon after calving, are indicative of environmental mastitis A high number of cases in lactation are more indicative of contagious mastitis.	
How are these recorded? Whiteboard/blackboard Paper (e.g. Farm Diary) Milking software/local PC Herd improvement software Other	Estimato	e % of cases cu		ed as	
How many clinical cases recorded for this season/ year? How many cases were in heifers?		.cases per			Warning Levels: <u>From Industry benchmarks:</u> >15 clinical cases per 100 cows calved in season >20% of clinical cases recieve 2
How many cases required a second course of treatment (within 1 month)? How many cases occurred within 14 days of calving?		cases pertota	l cases =	%	or more courses of treatment <u>From Mastitus Focus Report:</u> > 8 clinical cases per 100 cows (all) calved, within the first 14
In heifers In cows See Your calving system on Mastitis Focus Report.		cases per			days of calving > 16 clinical cases per 100 heifer calved, within first 14 days of calving. > 1 clinical case per 100 cows milked per month of lactation
Culling					Compare culling rate with warning levels:
How many cows were culled mainly for mastitis/high SCC?		cows per	cows =	%	From Industry benchmarks: > 1-2% cows culled or died due to mastitis per total cows in
How many cows died due to mastitis?		cows per	cows =	%	herd, per year
Drying-off management					
On average, how many litres were cows producing the time of drying-off?	ng at				Were the majority of cows in the herd likely to be producing between 5 and 10L at drying-
Are any steps taken to reduce level of production?		YES	NO		off? below 5L
If YES, what approach(es) were used?		Details:			5 - 10L > 10L
Change in milking frequency					Chack the method used to dry

below 5L	
5 - 10L	
> 10L	

Check the method used to dry off cows was consistent with SmartSAMM Guideline 16.

:

B6. Farm profile

Dry cow strategy at end of last lactation	
How many cows received Antibiotic DCT?	Total no. of cowsas % of herd%
How many cows received Internal Teat Sealant (ITS)?	Total no. of cowsas % of herd%
How many cows received a combination (Antibi- otic DCT + ITS)?	Total no. of cowsas % of herd%

Drying-off management	
Did drying off occur on more than 1 day?	YES NO
If Yes, how many cows were dried off in each batch, approximately?	Batch 1Batch 2
	Batch 3Batch 4
How many people were involved in doing the treatments at each batch?	
How were the teats cleaned before treatment?	
Were teats sprayed after treatment?	YES NO

Dry cow management	
Do the DCT records show:	Cow ID
(Circle relevant records)	Treatment date
	Product(s)
How were cows managed after dry off?	
How were cows checked for mastitis after dry off?	
Were there any cases of clinical mastitis after dry off?	YES NO

Good dry cow records are essential for managing risk of inhibiting substance grades at calving

Clinical cases after dryingoff reflect the overall drying-off management from preparing the cows, techniques used to administer antibiotic, to hygiene post drying-off

1



B7. Farm profile



Heifer management	
Are the first calving heifers treated differently to cows pre-calving?	YES NO
If YES, what steps are taken to reduce mastitis in first calving heifers before/at calving?	Internal teat sealant Twice daily calf pickup Teat disinfection prior to calving Change in feed type/level e.g. hay feeding Other
Details:	

If an inspection of the feed pad or calving pad could be beneficial, schedule it in your diary for the appropriate time of year

To what extent are these areas likely to be contributing to an environmental mastitis problem?

Low Med High

Environment

Are there areas around the farm that are likely to make udders and legs dirty:

before calving? YES NO	in lactation? YES NO
If YES, what are significant problem area(s)?	If YES, what are significant problem area(s)?
Laneways, gateways	Laneways, gateways
Grazing paddocks, crops	Grazing paddocks, crops
Entry/exit from yards	Entry/exit from yards
Feed pad or stand-off pad	Feed pad or stand-off pad
Housing structures	Housing structures
Other	Other
Are steps taken to reduce dirt/hair on tails?	YES
	Describe:
Are steps taken to reduce hair on udders?	YES
	Describe:
	<u>[</u>

Notes



C. Milk culture (Technote 4)



The batch of samples	samples? Date submitted for	culture: Lab submitted to:		The samples are: Fresh Frozen	-	(If mixed, then mark Clinical cases	cows in column) Other	Who selected the cows?	Sample type? Clinicals - individ. quarter	'in S			Results Number of samples	Staph. aureus C. bovis	Strep. uberis CNS	Strep. ag Other	Strep. dyst Mixed		E. coli Contaminated	Number of samples with no growth	Number with interpretable results
Results							i				1										
ICSCC	n SCC exceeded 120 150																				
IC	Last count																				
Comments / Sampling reason	i.e. CM, RMT +ve, high SCC																				
ple	Quarter																				
Sample	Date																				
Calving Date																					
Age																					
Cow ID																					
		-	2	m	4	ß	9	7	œ	6	2	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20

C. Milk culture (Technote 4)



	Cow ID	Age	Calving Date	Sam	Sample	Comments / Sampling reason	ICS	ICSCC	Results
				Date	Quarter	i.e. CM, RMT +ve, high SCC	Last count	n SCC exceeded 120,150	
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									
29									
30									
31									
32									
33									
34									
35									
36									
37									
38									
39									
40									

D. Individual cow cell counts (Technote 12, 23)



Attach copy of SmartSAMM Mastitis Focus report here.

Compare herd information with Ma	astitis Focus
Circle data available for Mastitis Focus:	Calving dates
	Clinical case records
	Herd test records
	Termination dates
	Birth dates
	DCT records
See New infection Rate box New infection rate (subclinical & clinical) is	Below trigger Above trigger
See Spread of infection box: Average new infection rate (all cows) is: First calver new infection rate is:	Below trigger Above trigger Below trigger Above trigger

Complete tables below if SmartSAMM Mastitis Focus is not available.

Compare mastitis preva	alence in differer	nt groups of cow	S
Group	No. cows with high SCC (>150)	Total no. cows in group	Percent above threshold
1st lactation heifers			
Mature cows			

Estimate spread of	of infectio	on in first	calving h	neifers		
SCC Ranges	Date	/year	Date	/year	Date	/year
(000/ml)		/		./		/
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
0-149						
150-249						
250-499						
500+						
Total cows						
Check proportion	of first c	alving he	ifers in lo	west SC	Crange.	
Does this remain ab	ove 80% f	or whole la	actation?	Y	'ES	NO

.....

Is any particular group of cows affected? (eg different ages, stages of lactation or management groups)

Review summary reports provided by herd test provider. The percent of heifers that have had a cell count above 150,000 cells/ml is an indicator of spread of infection in the herd.

Suspect a problem if the percentage increases by more than 10% per calendar month (interpret with care when there are less than 40 heifers tested).

Suspect a problem if more than 20% of heifers have a high cell count by the end of their first lactation.





Attach copy of dry test here

F. Milking machine performance test



Clusters:					
Attachment:	Rotary – Rear H'bone – Rear H'bone – Side Other	Liner make			
Cluster position in relation to the cows' udders	Good Fair Poor	Model no.			
Shell dimensions	Length: Outer Diameter: Hole: Internal Diameter:	Liner length unstretch			
Do clusters hang squarely on n	early all udders?	YES	NO		
If no, do clusters appear to be:					
Long Pulling or dragging on the u Long Long Stain	milk tubes are too long eless steel droppers are to	oes are twisted or too short			
	-	ne inlets or Automatic Cup	Removers		
Estimated teat size and shape Teat size in this herd is:	In length, the te	_	In width, the	teats tend to be:	
Very consistent	Short (<30 mm)	L1	Narrow (<20	i	
Very variable	Average (30-70 Long (>70 mm)	L	Average (20-2 Wide (>23 mi Cone or funn	n)	
Liner compatibility In your opinion as the adviser:					
Are liners compatible with the shells?	YES NO	Are liners compatible	e with teats?	YES NO	

F. Milking machine performance test cont



law vac	uum: During milking, at 2-3 minutes after cups on	Vacuum level: Not de	uring milking			
Unit	Average claw vacuum (kPa) at 2-3 minutes		Measu	re:	Gui	delines:
1		Working vacuum (kPa) at ctp (central test point)			Milkline height (m)	Vacuum (kPa
2		Milkline height (m) above cow's feet			1.8 1.6	48 46 - 48
3		Pass/Fail:	Pa		1.4 1.2 Lowline	44 - 46 42 - 44 40 - 42
4		Vacuum stability in	n milkline		ng milking uidelines:	Pass/Fail
5		Milking vacuum when all units connected (kPa)	Max. Min.	Vacuu readir withir	um gauge ng should stay n +/- 2 kPa g milking	Pass Fail
6 7		Vacuum during cluster change over (kPa)	Min.	be up Recov	im drop can to 5-10kPa. ery time is important.	Pass
8		Time to recover after changeover, to milking vacuum range (secs)		than 3	d take less 3 seconds to er to normal .*	Pass
Mean		*If outside these guide "hunting" i.e. oversho registered milking mac	oting or u	ndersh	nooting, cor	ntact a

Mean claw vacuum within range 36-42 kPa at 2-3 minutes after cups on, or at 5 L/ min with flow simulator.

In your opinion as the advisor:	
Is claw vacuum acceptable?	YES NO
If no, is it contributing to:	teat damage slow milk flow
Are claw bowls emptying normally? If no, check for blocked air admission holes.	YES NO

G. Milking routines, teat cup slips (Technote 5, 6)

Mark teat slips here IIII							Number of cup slips recorded:	Number per 100 cows:	How do you (the advisor) rate the	consistency of the Med	milking routine?	understanding of Low Med	all staff? High	The risk of mastitis in this dairy through	impacts is		teat cleanliness & Med disinfection is
The cluster is weighed down (by hand or brick) to finish milking	Never Sometimes Most cows	At cups off the vacuum is released by	Kinking long milk tubes or using snap clips	Pulling the button	Automatic Cup Removers	Threshold =	Other		After vacuum is released	Cups drop away in 2-3 secs without help	Need assistance to get the cups off	Cups hang for 4-5 secs or longer Removal by ACR's is satisfactory	Effectiveness of teat disinfectant coverage	was assessed by: Visual inspection	Towel test	Comment	
Teats clean & dry as cows enter the dairy	YES NO		YES NO	If YES, are they: Washed if dirty?	Washed routinely?	How?	There are sufficient functional hoses to	enable adequate washing?		It washed, teats are dried? YESNO	lf YES, how?	Pre-milking teat disinfection is used?	Yes No	Comment	The contamination of teat ends was checked with a damp teat wipe immediately before cups on	YES NO	Comment
 Names of milking staff				Others not present today			Any recent changes	What has changed about the milking routine		Any staff changes/training in the last 6 months?		Milking gloves are worn by most staff at milking time:	YES NO	Cows usually enter the dairy	On their own With help Backing gate Dog	Operator	Comment

SAMM UU SAMM UU Powered by Dairynz

0
<i>(01</i>
4
θ
÷
not
4
U.
'e
F
\sim
-
<u> </u>
-
en
ž
2
0)
gen
F
0
\Box
UNC UNC
an
Jan
nan
ma
ma
ma
ma
ase ma
ase ma
ma
ase ma
ase ma
al case ma
ase ma
ical case ma
ical case ma
cal case ma
ical case ma
 ical case ma
ical case ma
 ical case ma
 ical case ma

				Smart and
H. CIINICAI CASE MANABEMENI (Technote 4, 10)	ernent (Technote 4, 10)			Powered by Dairwiz
Is MRS T followed? Yes No	What could be im- proved?	Mark Record	rd 📃 Separate 🗌 Treat 🛄	we fund to many a
Detection	Marking and Separation		Treatment	In vour oninion (as the
Practices routinely used by milkers to detect clinical mactitis are	ct Cows with clinical mastitis are identified by	identified by	The treatment routine for clinical cases includes	
Visual inspection of the udder	Leg bands		Fully milking and stripping quarters out before infusing antibiotic	Missed
Palpation of suspect quarters	Tail band		Disinfecting teat ends	Usually detected
Stripping of suspect quarters	Spray paint		Hvaienic infusion technique	
Regular stripping of fresh cows	ID written on whiteboard		Doct-treatment teat disinfection	In your opinion (as the advisor), subclinical
Routine stripping of the whole herd	ID written in diary			(KWI +ve only) cases are likely to be:
Frequent inspection of filter socks	Other		Necolarity details Milking quarters out fully at every milking	Treated
Othor				
	Every milker, including relief staff, is familiar with the system used to mark treated sows	taff, is familiar with	The treatment protocol includes	ignored
Clinical cases are usually detected at	No Yes	Don't know	A full course of treatment No Yes	
Cups on	Clinical cases are		Products used	
Cups off	Milked last			
All workers know the protocol to find clinical cases for treatment in this herd	cal Milked into a test bucket		2. 3.	
No Yes Don't know	Other		4.	
Milk samples are collected from clinical cases	es If a test bucket is used		Comments on selection or effectiveness	
prior to treatment	It has a separate cluster			
All Some None	The cluster is adequately washed between cows	between cows		





If observations are missed place a cross (X) in table. If "normal" findings are left blank, tick here

Cow ID			Teat end No ring, Smooth	1d looth		Skin	Skin condition	ion	Comment Score: Red, Blue, Swelling at	Cow ID		Te: No rir	Teat end lo ring, Smoot		Sk	Skin condition	ition	Comment Score: Red, Blue, Swelling at	
		R	ough, Very	rough		Lesions,	Lesions, Haemorrhages	hages	base, Open orifice, Firm teat end			Rough,	Rough, Very rough	ч.	Lesi	Lesions, Haemorrhages	rrhages	base, Open orifice, Firm teat end	
Mob:		LB	RB	LF RF	F LB	8 RB		RF		Mob:	LB	RB	5	RF	LB	RB L	LF RF		
-					-					26	-								
۰										27									
										i 8									
γ										78									
4										29									
5										30									
9		ľ			╞	-				31	╞	-							
>																			
7										32									
00										33									
6										34									
10										35									
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		ľ			╞	-				36	╞								
-										٥٢									
12										37									
13										38									
14										39									
15										40									
16					╞					41	-								
17										42									
18										43									
19										44									
20										45									
21										46									
22										47									
23										48									
24										49								·	
25										50									
		Rough (%)	(%) L		Le.	Lesions (%)	(%)				Ro	Rough (%)			Lesions (%)	IS (%)			
	-	Very I	Very Rough (%)	(%)	На	Haem (%)	(9				Ve	Very Rough (%)	gh (%)		Haem (%)	(%)			
					_						_								

# I. Teat condition continued



If observations are missed place a cross (X) in table. If "normal" findings are left blank, tick here

Comment Score: Red, Blue, Swelling at base, Open orifice, Firm teat end																												
Skin condition Normal, Dry Lesions, Haemorrhages bas	LB RB LF RF																										Lesions (%)	Haem (%)
Teat end No ring, Smooth Rough, Very rough	LB RB LF RF																										Rough (%)	Very Rough (%)
Cow ID	b:															0	1	92	3	4	5	96	2	98	66	100		
	Mob:	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	6	93	94	95	6	97	6	51 	1		
Comment Score: Red, Blue, Swelling at base, Open orifice, Firm teat end	Mo	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	88	87	88	80	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	1		
Skin condition Normal, Dry Lesions, Haemorrhages base, Open orifice, Firm teat end	LB RB LF RF Mo	92	77	78	79	80	8	82	8	84	85	8	8	88	8	6	0	0	0	ō	6	<b>o</b>	0	<b>o</b>	6		Lesions (%)	Haem (%)
	RB LF RF	92	77		79	80	8	82	8	84	88	8	8	8	8	6	0	<b>o</b>	6	ō	6	<b>o</b>	<b>o</b>		6		Rough (%) Lesions (%)	Very Rough (%) Haem (%)

6
Ø
, t
ро
2
-
<u></u>
F
_
S
2
0
T.
G
5
-
$\boldsymbol{\omega}$
S
õ
-
0
ØØ
~
$\prec$
•
5
<

SAMM UU	Powered by DairyNZ
	Teat spray coverage (Adequate, Poor)
	MC

Powered by Dairwy	mat in the second		*Hygiene scores:	1 = Free of dirt	2 = Slightly dirty (2 - 10 % of surface area)	3 = Moderately covered with dirt (10 – 30 % of surface area)	4 = Covered with caked on	dirt (>30% of surface area)			Assess teat spray coverage:	Adequate = barrel and teat end covered fullv	Poor = barrel not fully	covered, teat end not fully	covered.																
	pray age ^{e, Poor)}	Fronts																													
	Teat spray coverage (Adequate, Poor)	Backs																													mins
	er cow	Over milking time	2																											mins	
	Milking time per cow ( ^{mins)}	Flow time																											%		
	Milkin	Delayed flow																													
		At cups off																											>1min)	M	cing
	(00:00) at:	Flow ends																											Cows with delayed let down (>1min)	Average milk flow time per cow	Average duration of over milking
	Clock time (00:00) at:	True flow starts																											th delayed	milk flow	duration o
		Cups on																											Cows wit	Average	Average
	to rear	Last 2 mins milking																													%
lo al	ps relating	First 2 mins milking																													%
l ecnuc	Count kicks and steps relating to rear legs	Cup attachment																													%
	Count ki	ln stall waiting																													%
	Hygiene* (1,2, 3, 4)	Udder and legs																T													%
). MILLINING ODJEL VALUATIS (LECTINOLE O)	Cow ID		-	c	3	4 ح	<u>ب</u>	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	<u>.</u>	01	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	Total cows	Cows with 3, 4	nyglene score, KiSt response

# K. Completeness of milking



Alternative ways for assessing completeness of milk-out are shown in this table, reproduced from Technote 6, page 6

Quarter is visibly wrinkled Quarter appears slightly plump, possibly indicating unharvested milk ) One particular quarter	Semi-quantitative (hand-stripping of individual quarter)	Machine-stripping (based on whole ud- der)
Quarter appears slightly plump, possibly indicating unharvested milk One particular quarter	5 or fewer easy strips (equating to <50 mL per quarter)	Less than 500 mL per udder
	10 or more easy strips (equating to more than about 100 mL per quarter)	More than 500 mL per udder
appears plumper and less wrinkled, relative to the other quarters		

	Cow ID	<b>Strip yields per quarter (mL)</b> L less than 50 mL, M 50-100 mL, H more than 100mL or use Good, Poor, Uneven	<b>uarter (mL)</b> L, H more than 100mL , Uneven
	Identify quarters 🔶		
1			
2			
З			
4			
5			
9			
7			
∞			
6			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
	No. of quarters		
Qua	Quarters yielding more than 100 mL		
		Percentage of all quarters	all quarters %

L. Teat spray preparation (Technote 7)

The routine	Who mixes the solution?		Are components measured correctly?	How often is the mix made up?	Are dedicated VFS NO	2	clean	Any recent changes? Has anything changed in the last 6 months? (product type, application, mixing providence and						Any further comments			
	do not complete area if using a Ready-to-use product Teat disinfection mix (as applied)	Quantity mixed in litres		litres	Added emollient (Name of product) (Name of product)	litres	Calculated active mix %	If available, tested %	Calculated emollient %	The water used	Tank Other	Town Bore	Via hot water system? YES NO	Treated with any chemicals?	Water has been tested YES NO	If available, tested	Bacterial count cfu/ml
V		YES NO Quantity n	Concentrate to mix with water The mix Ready to use	lodine gm/L Concentrate	Chlorhexidine gm/L Added Other gm/L	YES NO Water		YES NO active	YES NO Calcula in mix	Тhе wa		Spray   Whole season   Source     Dip   Part season   Image: Source	Portable hand-held bottle	In-line wands Treated wi Automated Chemicals?	Angled Vertical Horizontal Water	Volume of prepared teat disinfectant used per cow	ml/cow
The stock product (as purchased)	Brand:	ACVM registered?	Product type		The active ingredient	Contains emollient? (If YES, what is the concentration)	Storage on farm	Product out of direct sunlight?	Product container sealed at all times?	Comments	Application	Applied by/for	If spray, which deliverv method		Spray nozzle stream is	Volume of prepared teat	Volume used per milk (Total volume ÷ no of cows milked)



M. The environment (Technote 1, 26)

Dry cows	Springers	Milkers	
Cows udders are likely to get dirty	Cows udders are likely to get dirty	Cows udders are likely to get dirty	
Cows stay relatively clean	Cows stay relatively clean	Cows stay relatively clean	
Where are cows grazed in dry period?	In wet weather, springers are regularly stood off- pasture:	In wet weather, milkers are regularly stood off-pastur	-pastur
On farm, on pasture	YES NO	YES NO	
On farm, on crop	If YES, where are they mainly held?	If YES, where are they mainly held?	
Run-off - on pasture	Races/laneways	Races/laneways	
Run-off - on crop	Milking yards	Milking yards	
Other	Feed pad	Feed pad	
Are manual checks of udders carried out in dry period?	Covered housing	Covered housing	
YES NO	Other	Other	
If YES, how often:	In wet weather, how is grazing adjusted?	Is a feed pad and/or housing system used daily?	ć
	No change	Feed Pad Housing system	tem
	Back fenced	NO	NO
	Increase break size	How many cases of milk fever/other	
When do springers return to farm, prior to planned start of calving (PSC)?	Other	metabolics occur in the herd?	
	When are calves removed after calving?	What was the herd average BCS at calving?	
4-2 weeks	> 24 h		
< < < > < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <	12- 24 h		
doesn't apply	12 h or less		



.:

Notes



Notes



smartsamm.co.nz